

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/466,921	06/06/95	ALIZON		M	03459,0008-0
<u></u>			_	PARKIN, JEXAMINER	
18N1/0702 FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW					.
GARRETT AND DUNNER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315				1813	
L				DATE MAILED:	
					07/02/ 96

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/466,921

Applicant(s)

Alizon et al.

Examiner

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit

1813



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/13/96					
★ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	· ·				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to ex is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	spond within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)					
☐ Is/are rejected.					
☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to					
☐ Claims	·				
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Record The drawing(s) filed on	to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). priority documents have been rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES					

 Serial No.:
 08/466,921
 Docket No.: 3495.0008-09

 Applicants:
 Alizon et al.
 Filing Date: 06/06/95

Response to Amendment

1. Acknowledgement is hereby made of the Response and Amendment filed March 28, 1996, in which claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9-12, and 15-17 were canceled and new claims 23-29 submitted. A Supplemental Amendment was filed June 13, 1996, introducing new claims 30 and 31.

5

10

20

- 2. Applicants claimed foreign priority rights under 35 U.S.C. § 119 corresponding to a United Kingdom application, Serial No. 84.23659, filed September 19, 1984. At the time of the previous office action this document was not available for perusal. Applicants expeditious submission of this document is acknowledged.
- 3. Acknowledgment is hereby made of applicants' amended Abstract.
- 4. The previous rejection of claims 15-17 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, is hereby withdrawn in response to applicants amendment.
 - 5. The previous rejection of claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9-12, and 15-17 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, is hereby withdrawn in response to applicants amendment.
 - 6. The previous rejection of claims 1, 2, 5-7, and 9-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Arya et al. (1984, Science

「アニコには最大は難、以後は他の心は関係に、長は利益の問題には関係しました。」

225:927-930), is hereby withdrawn in response to applicants amendment.

- 7. The previous rejection of claims 1, 2, 5-7, and 9-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over <u>Levy</u> (1987, US PAT No. 4,716,102), is hereby withdrawn in response to applicants amendment.
- 8. The previous rejection of claims 15-17 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Wain-Hobson et al. (1985, Cell 40:9-17), is hereby withdrawn in response to applicants amendment.

New Grounds of Rejection

9. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

20

25

30

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the disclosure is not commensurate with the scope of the claims. New claims 23-31 are directed towards DNA restriction fragments, vectors containing said fragments and transformed host

cells. The specification provides a preliminary restriction map of LAV cDNA (e.g. pLAV75, pLAV82 and pLAV13) and lambda phage clones (e.g. λ J19 and λ J81) (refer to Figures 1 and 2). The restriction coordinates are disclosed on page 4, as well as a series of restriction fragments believed to correspond to the gag, pol and env coding regions (e.g. PstI (800 nt)/KpnI (3500 nt); KpnI(3,500 nt)/BglII (6,500 nt); KpnI (6,100)/BglII (9150). The specification does not disclose any other HIV/LAV viral clones or restriction fragments ipsis verbis.

5

10

15

20

25

The instantly claimed invention is directed towards any HIV-1 DNA fragment "having the sequence" disclosed in the approximated restriction fragment. The broadly recited claim language is not supported by the specification. Applicants do not provide any quidance pertaining to the nucleotide sequence of any particular HIV-1 DNA fragment nor do they provide any quidance pertaining to the location of restriction sites from disparate isolates or strains. It is art-recognized that the Lentivirinae display considerable genomic heterogeneity and exist as a quasispecies (Holland et al., Curr. Topics Micro. Immunol. 176:1-120, 1992; Goodenow et al., J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. 2:344-352, 1989). Holland and colleagues concluded (refer to Summary, page 16) that "RNA virus mutation frequencies generally approach maximum tolerable levels, and create complex indeterminate quasispecies populations in infected hosts. This usually favors extreme rates of evolution, although periods of relative stasis or equilibrium, punctuated by rapid change may also

occur (as for other life forms). Because complex quasispecies populations of RNA viruses arise probalistically and differentially in every host, their compositions and exact roles in disease pathogenesis are indeterminate and their directions of evolution, and the nature and timing of "new" virus outbreaks are unpredictable." [Emphasis added by Examiner].

5

10

15

20

25

30

Goodenow and colleagues examined HIV genetic diversity using a novel amplification assay. The authors reported (refer to DISCUSSION, pages 349-351) that:

RNA viruses have been described as quasispecies (1, 20, 21), i.e., there is no such thing as a viral sequence per se but sets of clusters of closely related sequences. Such is the case for HIV. From any set of data that has been derived, it was simple to calculate that every HIV viral genome within an isolate was unique. This in turn meant that the rate of nucleotide minsincorporation was greater than 1 X 10⁻⁴/base/cycle of replication...The potential of the HIV-1 virus to change is thus enormous...In conclusion, we are faced by a virus of enormous complexity, certainly more heterogeneous than influenza A or poliovirus. [Emphasis added by Examiner].

Thus, it seems that any particular restriction fragment will contain a unique nucleotide sequence depending upon the source of the clone. However, the specification does not provide any data concerning the precise nucleotide sequences of the instantly claimed restriction fragments. How would the skilled artisan, who has identified HIV molecular clones from a different source, know if he were in possession of the applicants invention? Absent further guidance from the specificaiton, it would require undue experimentation to practice the invention as presently claimed. Accordingly, claims 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set

forth in the objection to the specification.

5

10

15

20

25

This rejection may be obviated by amending the claim language to recite restriction fragments obtained from specific clones (i.e. An HIV-1 BamHI/BgIII $\lambda J19$ cloned DNA restriction fragment wherein said BamHI site is located at nucleotide 8,150 and said BgIII site is located at nucleotide 9,150).

- 10. 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims contain the recitation approximately" in reference to the location of particular restriction This recitation precludes identification of the precise sites. location of said restriction sites. For example, would a BamHI site at 8,000 nucleotides be encompassed by the claim language? site at 8,100 nucleotides be included? Accordingly, the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired can not be ascertained. Applicants may obviate this rejection by reciting the source of the restriction fragment (i.e. clone $\lambda J19$) and precise location of the restriction site.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection.

 Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a).

 Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

GROUP 1890

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

- 12. Correspondence related to this application may be submitted to Group 1813 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The fax number for Group 1813 is (703) 305-7939. Applicants are encouraged to notify the Examiner prior to the submission of such documents to facilitate their expeditious processing and entry.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-2227. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Christine Nucker can be reached at (703) 308-4028. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1813 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Respectfully,

5

10

20

35 Jeffrey_S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1813

June 23, 1996